

Literature Review on Spectrophotometric, Chromatographic and Voltammetric Analysis of Ivermectin

Sebaiy MM*, Shanab AG, Nasr AK, Hosney AE, Elsaid AG and Ramadan AH

Medicinal Chemistry Department, Zagazig University, Egypt

***Corresponding author:** Mahmoud M Sebaiy, Medicinal Chemistry Department, Faculty of Pharmacy, Zagazig University, Sharkia, 44519, Egypt, Tel: +201062780060, Fax: +20552303266; Email: sebaiym@gmail.com, mmsebaiy@zu.edu.eg

Research Article Volume 5 Issue 2 Received Date: June 20, 2021 Published Date: July 20, 2021 DOI: 10.23880/macij-16000170

Abstract

We will present the majority of the most recent published methods for determining the anti parasitic drug, Ivermectin in its pure form, combined form with other drugs, combined form with degradation products, and in biological samples in this literature review. This review also deals with the effectiveness of Ivermectin in treatment of COVID-19.

Keywords: Ivermectin; Degradation Products; Biological Samples; Literature Review; COVID-19

Introduction

Ivermectin (IVM) is an orally effective microfilaricidal agent that is a synthetic derivative of the antiparasitic family of compounds known as avermectin E. It is currently the most effective treatment for patients infected with the nematode Onchocerca volvulus, which is a leading cause of blindness in tropical areas. It's a macrolide endectocide that works on all endoparasites with cutaneous tropism (Strongyloides stercoralis, Ancylostoma braziliense, Cochliomvia hominivorax, Dermatobia hominis, Filaria bancrofti, Wucheria malayi, Onchocerca volvulus Loa-loa) and ectoparasites [1]. IVM is an antiparasitic drug with a wide range of activity, high effectiveness, and a high safety margin. This compound has been widely used in veterinary medicine since 1987, and its use in humans has been expanded [2]. IVM is supplied orally in a single dose of 150 mg/kg once a year. IVM is usually well tolerated, with the exception of a few extreme serious reactions such as significant systemic postural hypotension. When compared to diethylcarbamazine and suramin, which were commonly used to treat onchocerciasis, the medication has strong advantages in terms of ease of administration and tolerability. As a result, IVM is appropriate for use in masscare programmes and is the most effective treatment choice

for onchocerciasis currently available. As a result, it gives hope to tens of thousands of people who are on the verge of going blind, and it makes a significant contribution to tropical medicine [3]. The drug was developed as a result of a one-of-a-kind international partnership between the public and private sectors. In addition, the implementation process included the world's first and largest drug donation initiative, as well as a unique collaboration between governments, nongovernmental organisations, and industry. The drug is now being used in two global disease-eradication programmes that support millions of the world's poorest people for free [4]. IVM activates unique IVM-sensitive ion channels in invertebrates, causing an influx of Cl-ions through the cell membrane. Muscle paralysis occurs as a result of the resulting hyper-polarization [5]. Due to the current importance of this drug in treatment of pandemic COVID-19, this literature focuses on its mode of action and different analytical methods that have been developed for determination of this drug in different pharmaceutical and biological samples.

IVM and Covid-19

Importin (IMP/1) binds to the coronavirus cargo protein in the cytoplasm (top) and translocates it into the nucleus

through the nuclear pore complex (NPC), where the complex disintegrates and the viral cargo reduces the host cell's antiviral response, allowing for increased infection. IVM

binds to the IMP/1 heterodimer and nucleus. This leads to less inhibition of antiviral responses, resulting in a more natural and effective antiviral response [6].



Review of Analytical Methods

Various techniques were used for the analysis of IVM in

its pure form, combined forms, pharmaceutical formulations, and in biological fluids. The available reported methods in this literature can be summarized as follows:

LOD	Linearity range	λ _{max} (nm)	Method or Reagent	Matrix	Drug	Ref
	5-40 μg/mL	314.4	UV Spectrophotometry	Tablet	IVM	[7]
	10-200 μg/ mL	485	Visible spectrophotometry	Oral suspension and injection	Triclabendazole and IVM	[8]
μg/mL 0.029	5-15 μg/mL	245	Multivariate Spectrophotometry	Tablet	IVM	[9]
2.274 μg/mL	1.2-7.2 μg/mL	245	UV Spectrophotometry	Tablet	Levocetirizine and IVM	[10]
	5-40 μg/mL	314.4	UV Spectrophotometry	Tablet	Albendazole and IVM	[11]

Spectrophotometric Methods

Chrmoatographic Methods

HPLC methods

Drugs	Matrix	Column	Mobile phase	Detector	Linearity range	LOD	Ref
IVM	Meat and liver	μ - Bondapak C ₁₈	Acetonitnie and water	fluorescence detection		250 pg	[12]
IVM, FEBANTEL, PRAZIQUANTEL, PYRANTEL PAMOATE	Tablets	C ₈ column (50 x 2.1 mm i.d) coupled with a C8 (10 x 2.1 mm i.d) guard column	Water/acetonitrile (15:85 v/v) containing 0.1% formic acid and 3 mmol/L ammonium formate	MS/MS	40-200 ng/mL	0.5 ng/ mL	[13]

IVM	Liver	Bond-Elut C ₈ column	Methanol:water (96:4 v/v)	fluorescence detection	2.48 - 24.8 ng per g tissue	1 ng per g tissue	[14]
IVM	Human plasma	Hypersil Gold C ₁₈ column (150 x 4.6 mm, 5 microm particle size)	Acetonitrile, methanol and distilled water (50:45:5, v/v/v)	Fluorescence detection	3-13600 μg/L	1 μg/L	[15]
triclabendazole and IVM	Pharmaceutical Formulation	C ₁₈ RP column	Acetonitrilemethanol wateracetic acid (56 36 7.5 0.5, v/v/v/v)	UV at 245 nm	27.01-81.02 μg /mL	0.07 μg / mL	[16]
IVM	Reindeer feces	C ₁₈ solid-phase extraction column	Acetone, isooctane	Fluorescence detection	5–2000 ng/g wet weight feces.		[17]
clorsulon, albendazole, triclabendazole and IVM	Pharmaceutical preparations	Monolithic column	120 mM sodium dodecyl sulfate, 15% propanol and 15 mM phosphate buffer (pH 5.5)	UV at 225 nm	30–300 µg/ mL	6.15 μg/ mL	[18]
IVM	Milk	SPE C ₁₈ column and SPE silica column	Acetonitrile, water and triethylamine	Fluorescence detection	2.8-55.6 ng/ mL	0.5 ng/ mL	[19]
IVM	Animal tissues	SPE C ₁₈ columns	95:5 v/v methanol:water	Fluorescence detection	10-120 ng/g	2 ng/g	[20]
IVM	Pig serum	Phenomenex C ₁₈ (5 microm, 250 mm x 4.6 mm)	Methanol and water in the ratio of 90:10 (V/V)	Fluorescence detection	0.010-20 mg/L	0.010 mg/L	[21]
IVM	Plasma	Supelcosil LC-18 column	Acetonitrile and water (96:4, v/v)	UV detection	1 - 40 μg/L	0.5 μg/L	[22]
IVM	Plasma	RP column (C_{18} , 250 × 4.6 mm, 5 µm) with a security guard column (C_{18} , 10 × 4 mm, 5 µm) (Phenomenex, Torrance, CA)	Methanol and water (90:10)	UV detection	20–1000 ng/ mL		[23]
IVM	Cattle and Sheep Tissues	Du Pont Zorbax ODS (4.6 mm X 15 cm	Methanol-water (95:5)	UV detection		1-2 ppb	[24]
IVM	Animal liver : cattle, goats, sheep and swine	SPE C ₈ and silica gel columns	Methanol-water (98 : 2)	Fluorescence detection	7.5-30 ng/g	2.5 ng/g	[25]
IVM and moxidecin	Bovine milk	Selectosil C ₁₈ (5 mm, 250 £ 4.60 mm) reverse- phase column	Acetic acid (0.2% in water), methanol and acetonitrile (4:40:56 v/v/v)	Fluorescence detection	0.1-50 ng/mL	0.033 ng /mL	[26]
IVM	Meat samples	RAMIP-BSA column	methanol:water (70:30, v:v)	UV detection	50-500 μg/ kg	16.66 μg/ kg	[27]

abamectin (ABA), emamectin (EMA) benzoate and IVM (IVM)	Rice	Waters Xbridge C ₁₈ column (250 4.6 mm i.d., 5 mm) with a guard column (20 4.6 mm i.d., 5 mm)	Acetonitrile/ methanol/ water (10 : 80 : 10, v/v/v)	Fluorescence detection	0.01 - 5 μg/mL	1.3 μg/ kg	[28]
---	------	--	--	---------------------------	----------------	---------------	------

HPLC methods

Drug	Matrix	Stationary phase	Mobile phase	Detector	Linearity range	LOD	Ref
IVM	Tablets	Lichrospher TLC aluminum plates pre-coated with silica gel 60F-254 (20cm×10cm×200 :m)	n-hexane: acetone: ethylacetate (6.5: 3.5: 0.1 v/v/v)	UV at 247 nm	100-5000 ng/spot	8.22ng/ spot	[29]
IVM and Albendazole	Tablets	aluminum-backed silica gel 60 F254 layers	toluene-ethyl acetateglacial acetic acid, 6:4:0.5 $(\nu/\nu/\nu))$	UV at 247 nm	0.1254 μg/band	0.02 μg/ band	[30]
Closantel and IVM	Vials	Silica gel 60 F254 plate	Toluene: isopropanol: ammonia 33%: 11 glacial acetic acid (70:28:10:1, by volume)	UV at 245 nm	0.06-3 μg/ band	0.013 μg/band	[31]

Voltammetric Methods

Drug	matrix	electrode	linearity	LOD	Ref
IVM and levamisolein anthelmintic and urine samples	Pharmaceutical formulations and urine	Cathodically pretreated boron- doped diamond electrode	0.60–50 μmol/L	0.30 µmol/L	[32]
IVM	Urine and tape water	Silver nanoparticles (AgNPs) modified boron and sulfur co- doped reduced graphene oxide (B, S@rGO)	0.3-60.0 nM	0.1 nM	[33]

Conclusion

This literature review represents an up to date survey about all reported methods that have been developed for determination of Ivermectin in its pure form, combined form with other drugs, combined form with degradation products, and in biological samples such as spectrophotometry, liquid chromatography, voltammetry, etc.

References

1. Giudice PD, Marty P (1999) Ivermectin: a new therapeutic weapon in dermatology? Arch Dermatol 135(6): 705-706.

- 2. Steel JW (1993) Pharmacokinetics and metabolism of avermectins in livestock. Vet Parasitol 48(1-4): 45-57.
- 3. Albiez EJ, Walter G, Kaiser A, Ranque P, Newland HS, et al. (1988) Histological examination of onchocercomata after therapy with ivermectin. Tropical Medicine and Parasitology 39(2): 93-99.
- 4. Omura S (1986) Philosophy of new drug discovery. Microbiological reviews 50(3): 259-279.
- 5. del Giudice P, Marty P (1999) Ivermectin: a new therapeutic weapon in dermatology? Arch Dermatol 135(6): 705-706.

- Buonfrate D, Coronas JS, Munoz J, Maruri BT, Rodari P, et al. (2019) Multiple-dose versus single-dose ivermectin for Strongyloides stercoralis infection (Strong Treat 1 to 4): a multicentre, open-label, phase 3, randomised controlled superiority trial. Lancet Infect Dis 19(11): 1181-1190.
- Chomwal RK, Goyal A (2014) Simultaneous spectrophotometric estimation of Albendazole and Ivermectin in pharmaceutical formulation. Journal of Pharmaceutical Analysis 3(1): 11-14.
- Razeq SAA, Demerdash AOEI, Sanabary HFEI (2016) HPLC, Densitometric and Visible-Spectrophotometric Determination of Triclabendazole and Ivermectin. Journal of Pharmaceutical Research International 13(6): 1-14.
- 9. Madhan S, Kavitha J, Lakshmi KS (2019) Multivariate calibration technique for the spectrophotometric quantification of Ivermectin in Pharmaceutical Formulation. Asian Journal of Pharmaceutical and Clinical Research.
- 10. Ashok Reddy S, Sekhar CKB (2012) UV Spectrophotometric method for simultaneous determination of Levocetirizine and Ivermectin in bulk and combined dosage form. Journal of Global Trends in Pharmaceutical Sciences 3(2): 639-646.
- 11. Mahaparale S, Banju D (2019) Recent Analytical Methods of Anti-Helmintic Agents. Asian Journal of Pharmaceutical Research 9(3): 209-218
- 12. Degroodt JM, Bukanski BWD, Srebrnik S (1994) Determination of ivermectin residues in meat and liver by HPLC and fluorometric detection. Journal of liquid chromatography 17(6): 1419-1426.
- 13. Pontes FLD, Pontarolo R, Campos FR, Gasparetto JC, Cardoso MA, et al. (2013) Development and validation of an HPLC-MS/MS method for simultaneous determination of ivermectin, febantel, praziquantel, pyrantel pamoate and related compounds in fixed dose combination for veterinary use. Asian J Pharm Clin Res 6(2): 191-199]
- 14. Kennedy DG, Cannavan A, Hewitt SA, Rice DA, Blanchflower WJ (1993) Determination of ivermectin residues in the tissues of Atlantic salmon (Salmo salar) using HPLC with fluorescence detection. Food Additives & Contaminants 10(5): 579-584.
- 15. Jongen MJM, Engel R, Leenheers LH (1991) Highperformance liquid chromatographic method for the determination of occupational exposure to the pesticide abamectin. American Industrial Hygiene Association

Journal 52(10): 433-437.

- 16. Shurbaji M, Rub MHA, Saket MM, Qaisi AM, Salim ML, et al. (2010) Development and validation of a new HPLC-UV method for the simultaneous determination of triclabendazole and ivermectin B1a in a pharmaceutical formulation. Journal of AOAC International 93(6): 1868-1873.
- 17. Asbakk K, Bendiksen HR, Oksanen A (1999) Ivermectin in reindeer feces: determination by HPLC. Journal of agricultural and food chemistry 47(3): 999-1003.
- 18. Rashed NS, Zayed S, Abdelazeem A, Fouad F (2020) Development and validation of a green HPLC method for the analysis of clorsulon, albendazole, triclabendazole and ivermectin using monolithic column: Assessment of the greenness of the proposed method. Microchemical Journal 157: 105069.
- Dusi G, Fierro A, Tognoli N (1997) HPLC determination of ivermectin residues in milk for human consumption [high pressure liquid chromatography-cow-ewe-goatbuffalo]. Italian Journal of Food Science (Italy) 9(4): 337-342.]
- 20. Dusi G, Curatolo M, Fierro A, Faggionato E (1996) Determination of the antiparasitic drug ivermectin in liver, muscle and fat tissue samples from swine, cattle, horses and sheep using HPLC with fluorescence detection. Journal of liquid chromatography & related technologies 19(10): 1607-1616.
- 21. Zhao JH, Sun CJ, Ma LS, Yin ZN, Jiang B, et al. (2005) Determination of ivermectin in pig serum by high performance liquid chromatography. Sichuan da xue xue bao Yi xue ban 36(1): 130-131.
- 22. Ren B, Lu BZ, Li SX (2002) Determination of ivermectin plasma concentration by HPLC. Chinese Journal of Hospital Pharmacy 22(10): 588-590.
- 23. Dong J, Song X, Lian X, Fu Y, Gong T (2016) Subcutaneously injected ivermectin-loaded mixed micelles: formulation, pharmacokinetics and local irritation study. Drug delivery 23(7): 2220-2227]
- 24. Tway PC, Wood JS, Downing GV (1981) Determination of ivermectin in cattle and sheep tissues using high-performance liquid chromatography with fluorescence detection. Journal of agricultural and food chemistry 29(5): 1059-1063.
- 25. Scarano G, Esposito M, Grasso L, Soprano V, Oliviero G (1998) Use of automated solid-phase extraction equipment for the determination of ivermectin residues

in animal liver by HPLC. Analyst 123(12): 2551-2553.

- 26. Imperiale F, Sallovitz J, Lifschitz A, Lanusse C (2002) Determination of ivermectin and moxidecin residues in bovine milk and examination of the effects of these residues on acid fermentation of milk. Food Additives & Contaminants 19(9): 810-818]
- 27. de Lima MM, Vieira AC, Martins I, Boralli VB, Borges KB, et al. (2016) On-line restricted access molecularly imprinted solid phase extraction of ivermectin in meat samples followed by HPLC-UV analysis. Food chemistry 197: 7-13.
- 28. Xie X, Gong S, Wang X, Wu Y, Zhao L (2011) Simplified RP-HPLC method for multi-residue analysis of abamectin, emamectin benzoate and ivermectin in rice. Food Addit Contam Part A Chem Anal Control Expo Risk Assess 28(1): 19-25.
- 29. Ali M, Alam S, Ahmad S, Dinda AK, Ahmad FJ (2011) Determination of ivermectin stability by highperformance thin-layer chromatography. International J of Drug Development & Research 3(2): 240-247.

- Varghese SJ, Vasanthi P, Ravi TK (2011) Simultaneous densitometric determination of ivermectin and albendazole by high-performance thin-layer chromatography. JPC Journal of Planar Chromatography Modern TLC 24(4): 344-347.
- 31. Abotaleb N, Nasr T, Ahmed H, Elsherif Z (2017) Development and validation of HPTLC and HPLC Methods for simultaneous determination of Closantel and Ivermectin in Veterinary Drug Products. Journal of Chemical and Pharmaceutical Research 9(3): 135-140.
- 32. Lourencao BC, Medeiros RA, Thomasi SS, Ferreira AG, RochaFilho RC, et al. (2016) Amperometric flow-injection determination of the anthelmintic drugs ivermectin and levamisole using electrochemically pretreated borondoped diamond electrodes. Sensors and Actuators B: Chemical 222: 181-189.
- 33. Mahnashi MH, Mahmoud AM, Alkahtani SA, El Wekil MM (2021) Ivermectin detection using Ag@ B, S co-doped reduced graphene oxide nanohybrid. Journal of Alloys and Compounds 871: 159627.

